arXiv:1407.0028v2 [quant-ph] 28 Aug 2014

Energy-pressure relation for low-dimensional gases

Francesco Mancarella*,[1,2] Giuseppe Mussardo,[3,4] and Andrea Trombettoni[5,3]

[1]*Nordic Institute for Theoretical Physics (NORDITA), Roslagstullsbacken 23, S-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden*

[2]*Department of Theoretical Physics, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden*

[3]*SISSA and INFN, Sezione di Trieste, via Bonomea 265, I-34136 Trieste, Italy*

[4]*International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP), Strada Costiera 11, I-34151, Trieste, Italy*

[5]*CNR-IOM DEMOCRITOS Simulation Center, Via Bonomea 265, I-34136 Trieste, Italy*

A particularly simple relation of proportionality between internal energy and pressure holds for scale–invariant thermodynamic systems (with Hamiltonians homogeneous functions of the coordinates), including classical and quantum – Bose and Fermi – ideal gases. One can quantify the deviation from such a relation by introducing the internal energy shift as the difference between the internal energy of the system and the corresponding value for scale–invariant (including ideal) gases. After discussing some general thermodynamic properties associated with the scale–invariance, we provide criteria for which the internal energy shift density of an imperfect (classical or quantum) gas is a bounded function of temperature. We then study the internal energy shift and deviations from the energy-pressure proportionality in low-dimensional models of gases interpolating between the ideal Bose and the ideal Fermi gases, focusing on the Lieb-Liniger model in $1d$ and on the anyonic gas in $2d$. In $1d$ the internal energy shift is determined from the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz integral equations and an explicit relation for it is given at high temperature. Our results show that the internal energy shift is positive, it vanishes in the two limits of zero and infinite coupling (respectively the ideal Bose and the Tonks-Girardeau gas) and it has a maximum at a finite, temperature-depending, value of the coupling. Remarkably, at fixed coupling the energy shift density saturates to a finite value for

infinite temperature. In $2d$ we consider systems of Abelian anyons and non-Abelian Chern-Simons particles: as it can be seen also directly from a study of the virial coefficients, in the usually considered hard-core limit the internal energy shift vanishes and the energy is just proportional to the pressure, with the proportionality constant being simply the area of the system. Soft-core boundary conditions at coincident points for the two-body wavefunction introduce a length scale, and induce a non-vanishing internal energy shift: the soft-core thermodynamics is considered in the dilute regime for both the families of anyonic models and in that limit we can show that the energy-pressure ratio does not match the area of the system, opposed to what happens for hard-core (and in particular $2d$ Bose and Fermi) ideal anyonic gases.

Keywords: arXiv: 1407.0028; Low-dimensional systems; Lieb-Liniger model; Quantum gases; Fractional statistics; Scale–invariance;
Anyonic thermodynamics; Chern-Simons theory; Virial expansion

PACS number: 05.30.-d; 05.30.Pr

Contact information:

* Francesco Mancarella (*corresponding author*): framan@kth.se; postal address: NORDITA, Roslagstullsbacken 23, 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden; telephone: +46 8 553 784 23

Giuseppe Mussardo: mussardo@sissa.it

Andrea Trombettoni: andreatr@sissa.it

I. INTRODUCTION

At thermodynamic equilibrium, pure homogeneous fluids (found in regions of the phase diagram hosting a single phase) are characterized by an equation of state $f(V, P, T) = 0$ relating pressure, volume and temperature. In general, an equation of state can be solved with respect to any of the three quantities V, P, or T, thus providing different ways to characterize the equilibrium properties of the system: for example, the partial derivatives of the form $V = V(T, P)$ have the physical meaning of thermal expansion coefficient $\alpha_V \equiv (1/V)(\partial V/\partial T)_P$ and isothermal compressibility $\beta_T \equiv -(1/V)(\partial V/\partial P)_T$ [1–4].

The equations of state for classical and quantum ideal gases are the starting point for understanding the thermodynamics of interacting gases [1–4]: in particular, the equation of state for the classical ideal gas is approximately valid for the low-density region of any real gas. In general, the internal energy of an interacting gas is a function of both temperature and pressure as a result of forces between the molecules. If such forces did not exist, no energy would be required to alter the average intermolecular distance, i.e. no energy would be required to implement volume and pressure changes in a gas at constant temperature. It follows that in the absence of molecular interactions, the internal energy of a gas would depend on its temperature only. These considerations lead to the definition of an ideal gas as the one whose macroscopic behavior is characterized by the two equations: $PV = Nk_BT$ and $E = E(T)$, where E is the internal energy.

The determination of the deviation of thermodynamic properties of non-ideal gases from the ideal behavior is in general a long-standing problem: a commonly used approach to quantify such a deviation is to define the shift of thermodynamic quantities as the difference with respect to the corresponding value of the same quantities in the ideal case. Historically, several techniques have been developed in order to encode deviations from the ideal gas law. Equations of states which are cubic in the volume feature a simple formulation together with the ability to represent for instance both liquid and vapor behavior. The first cubic equation of states was the Van der Waals equation [5]

$$P = k_BT/(v - b) - a/v^2$$

(v denotes the volume per particle), accounting for attractive intermolecular (or Van der Waals) forces and a finite excluded volume through its positive constants a and b respectively. In the high-temperature regime, the deviations from the ideal equation of state can be

expressed in a more general way, called virial expansion, and obtained by expressing the pressure as a power series in the density ρ in the form

$$P = \rho k_B T \left[1 + B_2(T)\rho + B_3(T)\rho^2 + \cdots\right], \tag{1}$$

where $B_n(T)$ is the n-th virial coefficient [1–4]. Many other similar functional forms have been proposed in various contexts for the equation of state of interacting gases, with the virial equations among the first to receive a firm theoretical foundation. In fact, virial expansions can be derived from first principles of statistical mechanics and such a derivation has also the merit to enlighten the physical significance of the various coefficients: the second virial term above written arises on account of interactions between pairs of molecules and, more generally, the n-th term depends upon the interactions among k-bodies, k ranging from 2 to n. In this paper we focus on the study of the energy-pressure relation in low-dimensional systems: for ideal gases, the internal energy is simply proportional to the product PV of pressure and volume, with the proportionality constant depending on the dimensionality d of the system. As we discuss in Section II this simple relation between energy and pressure holds for any scale–invariant thermodynamic systems, i.e. for systems having a N-body Hamiltonian H_N that scales as $H_N \to \lambda^{-\alpha} H_N$ under a dilatation of λ-linear scaling factor, and boundary conditions at coincident points on the wave-function $\psi_N(x_1, \cdots, x_N)$ which are true also for any rescaled wave-function $\tilde{\psi}_N(x_1, \cdots, x_N) \equiv \psi_N(\lambda x_1, \cdots, \lambda x_N)$. The first condition means that H_N is an homogeneous function of the coordinates: ideal classical and quantum gases are particular cases of this class of systems, since their Hamiltonian is scale–invariant with $\alpha = 2$.

To quantify deviations from the ideal energy-pressure relation, in the following we introduce the *internal energy shift* as the difference between the internal energy of the system and the corresponding value of the scale–invariant (including ideal) gases. Low-dimensional quantum systems provide a natural playground for the study of the internal energy shift, since in $1d$ and $2d$ systems it is possible to naturally interpolate from the thermodynamic properties of an ideal Bose gas to those of an ideal Fermi gas, and determine how deviations from the ideal gas behavior affect thermodynamic quantities. We will consider the Lieb-Liniger (LL) model in $1d$ and the anyonic gas in $2d$. For these two systems the physical nature of the interpolation between the Bose and Fermi statistics seems to be formally different:

- in the LL model (a $1d$ model of interacting bosons), the interpolation between ideal bosonic and fermionic behavior is driven by the increase of the repulsive interaction among the particles.

- in $2d$ anionic gases, one can instead explicitly interpolate between the two canonical bosonic and fermionic statistics by tuning the statistical parameter.

However, the anyonic statistics incorporates the effects of interaction in microscopic bosonic or fermionic systems (statistical transmutation) and, from this point of view, it is again the variation of the underlying microscopic interactions that induces the interpolation between Bose and Fermi ideal gas.

So, our first paradigmatic example of interpolating behavior between ideal Bose and Fermi gases will be the LL model of one-dimensional bosons interacting via a pairwise δ-potential: the equilibrium properties of this model can be exactly solved via Bethe ansatz both at zero [6] and finite temperature [7]. In the exact solution of this model, a crucial role is played by the coupling γ, which turns out to be proportional to the strength of the two-body δ-potential: the limit of vanishing γ corresponds to an ideal $1d$ Bose gas; on the other side, the limit of infinite γ corresponds to the Tonks-Girardeau (TG) gas [8–10], having (local) expectation values and thermodynamic quantities of a $1d$ ideal Fermi gas [11–14]. Two features makes the LL model attractive for the purposes of studying the internal energy: first, its integrability [15, 16], crucial for getting non-perturbative exact results all along the crossover from weak to strong coupling regimes; second, its experimental realization by means of ultracold atom set-ups [11–14, 17], where bosons are confined within $1d$ atom waveguides which freeze almost all transverse degrees of freedom [18–20]. The coupling strength of the LL system can be tuned through the Feshbach resonance mechanism [21].

Our second paradigmatic example will be the $2d$ ideal anyonic gases in which we will study the energy-pressure relation in the interpolation between $2d$ Bose and Fermi gases induced by the pure statistical Aharonov-Bohm interactions. We will consider Abelian and non-Abelian Chern-Simons particle systems, and both models admit a soft-core generalization that can be understood as the result of an additional contact interaction besides the pure statistical one. As it is well known, quantum two-dimensional systems of indistinguishable particles have the peculiarity of admitting generalized braiding statistics, because of the non-trivial topological structure of braiding transformations defined over the space-time ambient

manifold. Ordinary bosonic and fermionic quantum statistics in $2d$ admit the generalization represented by Abelian anyons, where an elementary braiding operation is encoded in terms of a multiplicative phase factor acting on the multi-anyonic scalar wavefunction [22–26]. A different generalization of the standard quantum statistics is represented by non-Abelian anyons, described by a multi-component many-body wavefunction and corresponding to higher-dimensional representations of the braid group: non-Abelian anyons generalize the parastatistics, exactly in the same manner in which Abelian anyons generalize Bose and Fermi statistics.

Thermodynamic properties of ideal Abelian anyonic gas (assuming hard-core boundary conditions for the wavefunction at coincident points) were studied in the low-density regime [27]: the exact expression therein obtained for the second virial coefficient is periodic and non-analytic as a function of the statistical parameter. Different approaches have been subsequently used in order to approximate the values of a few higher virial coefficients, including the semiclassical approximation [28] and Monte Carlo computations [29] (for more references see [25, 30]). The thermodynamics of a system of free non-Abelian anyons appears as a harder task and, so far, only results about the second virial coefficient are available [31–34]. In Section VI we also study the shift of the internal energy of soft-core anyonic gases: a family of models for "colliding" anyons (featuring generalized soft-core boundary conditions) can be introduced as the set of well-defined self-adjoint extensions of the Schrödinger anyonic Hamiltonian. The mathematical arguments underlying the possibility of such a generalization were discussed in [35], and the second virial coefficient of soft-core Abelian anyons was studied in [36–38]. The corresponding self-adjoint extensions for the non-Abelian anyonic theory have been as well discussed [39–42]. The model of soft-core anyons is here considered as an explicit example of scaling symmetry breaking due to the presence of an intrinsic length scale.

Among all thermodynamic properties of ideal classical and quantum gases, the linear relation between internal energy and pressure is particularly simple, and in this paper we study how it is affected by the various interactions represented by the low-dimensional models above mentioned. For extensive computer simulations of energy-pressure relation in 3D classical systems of interacting particles, see [43–48] (and [49] for the definition of "Roskilde systems").

The paper is organized as follows: in Section II we show that a simple relation of pro-

portionality between internal energy and pressure holds for scale–invariant thermodynamic systems, including classical and quantum (Bose and Fermi) ideal gases, and we discuss some simple consequences of scale–invariance in generic dimensionality, including some useful properties of isoentropic transformations. In Section III we set criteria under which the internal energy shift per particle of an imperfect gas at fixed density saturates towards a finite value as the temperature becomes very large. These criteria are expressed in terms of the second virial coefficient and by distinguishing the different dimensionalities. Section IV is devoted to define the models we are going to study in next Sections: the LL models and the different anyonic models. The internal energy shift of the LL model is studied in Section V by using thermodynamic Bethe ansatz integral equations: the comparison with the $1d$ hard-core bosons is also discussed. Section VI deals with the internal energy shift of anyonic gases, and we present results for both the hard- and the soft- core anyonic gases. Our conclusions are drawn in Section VII, while more technical material is presented in the Appendices.

II. SCALE–INVARIANT SYSTEMS

A proportionality between internal energy E and pressure P holds for any scale–invariant thermodynamic system. Indeed, let us consider a (classical or quantum) system of N particles in a volume V with Hamiltonian $H_N(V)$. It is intended that in this Section and the next, we denote by V the length L in $1d$ and the area A in $2d$. We define a classical system to be scale–invariant when the Hamiltonian transforms as

$$H_N \to \lambda^{-\alpha} H_N \tag{2}$$

under a dilatation of a λ-linear scaling factor such that the coordinate x of the particles transforms as $x \to \lambda x$ (the momentum p transforms correspondingly as $p \to \lambda^{-1} p$): therefore the Hamiltonian is an homogeneous function of its spatial coordinates. For quantum systems, we define them to be scale–invariant if they fulfill condition (2) and respect at the same time scale–invariant boundary conditions for the N-body wave-function ψ_N at contact points, i.e conditions on the wave-function $\psi_N(x_1, \cdots, x_N)$ which are true also for any rescaled wave-function $\tilde{\psi}_N(x_1, \cdots, x_N)) \equiv \psi_N(\lambda x_1, \cdots, \lambda x_N)$, where $\lambda \neq 0$ is a real constant. A typical example of scale–invariant boundary conditions for the N-body wave-function at contact

points is given by the hard-core condition.

In the canonical ensemble the pressure P and the internal energy E are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \tfrac{1}{\beta}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial V}\log Z(N,V,\beta)\,, \\ E &= -\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\log Z(N,V,\beta)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where as usual $\beta = 1/k_B T$ and Z is the partition function:

$$Z(N,V,\beta) = \mathrm{Tr}\, e^{-\beta H_N(V)}\,. \tag{4}$$

For any d-dimensional scale–invariant system of volume V, the map

$$(V,\beta) \to (\lambda^d V, \lambda^\alpha \beta) \tag{5}$$

leaves $\log Z$ invariant in the thermodynamic limit (since $\beta H_N \to \beta H_N$). With the notation $\lambda - 1 = \epsilon \ll 1$, we are led to

$$0 \;=\; (\delta \log Z)|_{(\delta V, \delta\beta)\,=\,(\epsilon d V, \epsilon\alpha\beta)} \;=\; \epsilon \left(V\, d\, \frac{\partial}{\partial V}\log Z + \alpha\, \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\log Z \right)\,, \tag{6}$$

whence relations (3) imply

$$E = \frac{d}{\alpha}\, P\, V\,. \tag{7}$$

Notice that Eq. (7) is valid both for classical and quantum scale–invariant systems, and follows from the invariance of the partition function under map (5): therefore the scale–invariance of boundary conditions at contact points is required in the quantum case. So, for instance, in Section VI, we will show that, for the $2d$ ideal anyonic gas, Eq.(7) only holds in the case of hard-core boundary conditions while it is violated in the soft-core case. From Section IV onwards, we study some low-dimensional quantum systems, since we are primarily interested in interpolating between the two ordinary quantum statistics.

From the considerations above, it follows that any quadratic scale–invariant Hamiltonian fulfills the scaling property $H_N \to \lambda^{-2} H_N$ under a dilatation of a λ-linear scaling factor and therefore enjoys the property

$$E = \frac{d}{2}\, P\, V\,. \tag{8}$$

Few examples of systems for which property (8) is known are the following:

i) d-dimensional ideal classical and quantum (Bose and Fermi) gas have quadratic dispersion relations, and they all obey the well known relation $E = (d/2)PV$, as it can be also deduced from the virial theorem [50];

ii) as reviewed in Section VI, the $1d$ LL Bose gas has total internal energy $E = PL/2$ (since $d = 1$ and $\alpha = 2$) for any temperature in both its scale–invariant limit regimes: the non-interacting limit ($\gamma \to 0$) and the fermion-like Tonks-Girardeau limit ($\gamma \to \infty$), which correspond respectively to the zero and infinite coupling associated with the δ-like contact interactions;

iii) for the $3d$ Fermi gas at the unitary limit the relation $E = (3/2)PV$ holds as well [51] (see the discussion in [52]).

As discussed in Section VI, also $2d$ hard-core ideal anyonic gases obey Eq. (7) for general values of the statistics parameters.

We derive now some scaling properties for scale–invariant d-dimensional systems undergoing adiabatic reversible thermodynamic processes (as above, the argument is carried out in the quantum case for the sake of generality). Let us consider the scale–invariant thermodynamic system confined in a region subjected to a quasi-static scaling transformation of the volume and the temperature $(V, T) \to (\lambda^d V,\, \lambda^{-\alpha} T)$, under which the ratios $E_i/k_B T$ are left invariant (same proof of (67)), as long as the N-particle Hamiltonian H_N gains a $\lambda^{-\alpha}$ factor under a λ-factor scaling of its spatial coordinates. The total entropy S of the system remains invariant under such a process: indeed the energy

$$E \equiv \frac{\sum_i e^{-E_i/k_B T}\, E_i}{\sum_i e^{-E_i/k_B T}} \tag{9}$$

scales proportionally to $\lambda^{-\alpha}$ (because of the transformation $(T, \{E_i\}) \to (\lambda^{-\alpha} T, \{\lambda^{-\alpha} E_i\})$ of temperature and energy levels), exactly as required for any isoentropic process fulfilling relation (7). This last statement results from $E = \frac{d}{\alpha} PV$ and $P = -\partial_V E(N, S, V)$, which give $(\alpha/d) E_{isoentr}/V = -(dE_{isoentr}/dV)$ and therefore:

$$E\, V^{\alpha/d} = const\ , \tag{10}$$

i.e. $E \propto \lambda^{-\alpha}$, along the series of equilibrium state of a given isoentropic process. We conclude that adiabatic reversible expansions and compressions (as well as arbitrary isoentropic processes followed by thermal relaxation) of scale–invariant systems are characterized by the following transformations for internal energy and temperature:

$$E \propto V^{-\alpha/d}\,; \quad T \propto V^{-\alpha/d}\,. \tag{11}$$

It is worth to point out three immediate consequences of (11):

- as ideal gases, scale–invariant systems undergoing an isoentropic process comply with the invariance of $PV^{\tilde{\gamma}}$, where $\tilde{\gamma} \equiv 1 + \alpha/d$.

- isoentropic transformations of scale–invariant systems let the dilution parameter $x \equiv \rho\lambda_T^d$ invariant, by taking into account a generalized definition of the thermal wavelength depending on the dispersion relation and the dimensionality [53].

- the internal energy associated with equilibrium states of an isoentropic process is proportional to the temperature:

$$E = y \times N k_B T \,, \tag{12}$$

  where y remains constant along the isoentropic curve. Notice that the factor y would depend solely on the dilution parameter $x = \rho\,\lambda_T^d$ for a given system if Eq. (12) is considered over the entire phase diagram.

It is worth to point out that for scale–invariant Hamiltonian systems, the dependence of virial coefficients upon the temperature is very simple, i.e.

$$B_k(T) \propto T^{-\frac{d}{\alpha}(k-1)} \;; \tag{13}$$

in fact, the parameters of an homogeneous Hamiltonian (2) define only a set of independent scales $\{a_n\}$ having dimensions energy $\times$ (length)$^\alpha$. By definition (1), the corresponding virial coefficients $B_k(T)$ have dimensionality $d(k-1)$, therefore their temperature-dependence has to be of the form (13). Furthermore, for several scale–invariant quantum systems (such as Fermi and Bose gas, unitary Fermi gases and a large variety of systems definable in terms of vector interactions, e.g. Abelian anyons and various kind of non-Abelian anyons) the thermal length $\lambda_T = h/\sqrt{2\pi m k_B T}$ is the only inherent length scale defined in terms of their parameters, and as a consequence Eq. (13) takes for them the special form $B_k(T) \propto \lambda_T^{d(k-1)}$. As it will be discussed in Section VI, the fact that the $B_k(T)$ respect Eq. (13) implies the validity of the relation (7) at all orders of the virial expansion (within its radius of convergence).

We conclude this Section by showing that it is also possible to deduce a property of the internal pressure for scale–invariant systems. The internal pressure π_T is defined in general as the volume derivative of the internal energy in isothermal processes [54]:

$$\pi_T = \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V}\right)_T \;; \tag{14}$$

the internal pressure is a measure of attractiveness for molecular interactions and is related to the (thermodynamic) pressure P by the expression

$$\pi_T = T\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\right)_V - P\ . \tag{15}$$

Eq. (15) is usually referred to as the *thermodynamic equation of state*, because it expresses the internal pressure just in terms of fundamental thermodynamic parameters P, V, T. For general scale–invariant systems, plugging (7) and (13) into the definition (14) [or equivalently Eq. (13) into Eq. (15)] leads to the following result in the dilute regime:

$$\pi_T \sim -\frac{d}{\alpha}\,\delta P, \qquad \delta P \equiv P - P_{ideal}\ , \tag{16}$$

where P_{ideal} is the pressure of the ideal Boltzmann gas having the same V, T.

Relation (16) does not hold, in general, if scale–invariance is violated. For scale–invariant systems with dimensionality equal to the dispersion-relation exponent (such as $2d$ Bose, $2d$ Fermi and hard-core Abelian and non-Abelian anyonic gases for quadratic dispersion), Eq.(16) reads $\pi_T \sim -\delta P$. In such systems the positive(/negative) internal pressure (14) can be exactly regarded in the dilute limit as the interaction contribution acting in favor to(/against) the external pressure P and counterbalancing the thermal contribution $P_{Boltzmann}$.

III. ENERGY-PRESSURE RELATION FOR IMPERFECT GASES AT HIGH TEMPERATURE

Hereafter we denote by ρ the number density, by E_{res} the internal energy shift and by $e_{res}(\rho, T)$ the internal energy shift density (per particle) of a generic classical or quantum imperfect gas, defined as

$$E \equiv \frac{d}{\alpha}PV + E_{res} \equiv \frac{d}{\alpha}PV + Ne_{res}\ . \tag{17}$$

The internal energy shift represents a measure of the deviation from the relation (7) derived in Section II for scale–invariant systems whose Hamiltonians are homogeneous functions of the coordinates.

In many textbooks, deviations from ideal gas behavior are quantified by introducing the so-called *departure functions* (or *residual* thermodynamic quantities) (see, for instance,

[55]). Such departure functions are obtained by taking the difference between the considered quantity and the corresponding value for the ideal gas, when two among the P, V and T parameters are kept fixed, typically P and T [55]. The quantity defined in (17) is a departure internal energy, but with V and P fixed: however, the departure (or residual) internal energy conventionally defined fixing P and T [i.e. defined as $E-(d/2)Nk_BT$] is not zero for general scale–invariant systems, while with our definition (17) of the internal energy shift, the latter vanishes for all scale–invariant systems. (It can be immediately checked that the only scale–invariant system whose conventionally defined departure internal energy vanishes is the ideal gas). An example of a system which is scale–invariant but with non-vanishing (conventionally defined) departure internal energy is the hard-core anyonic gas, as discussed in Section VI: on the contrary, the one defined in (17) can be considered as the correct residual quantity measuring deviations from scale–invariance. To avoid possible misunderstandings, we decided to refer to E_{res} [defined in (17)] as the *internal energy shift* rather than *departure internal energy*.

In the low-density regime, the thermodynamic quantities can be associated with the virial coefficients $\{B_n(T)\}$ of the equation of state $P = P(\rho, T)$. Following statistical mechanics textbooks [1–4], in the d-dimensional case the following virial expansions for the pressure P, the Helmholtz free energy A_H, the Gibbs free energy G, the entropy S, the internal energy E and the enthalpy H are obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Pressure :} \quad \tfrac{PV}{Nk_BT} = 1 + \sum_{k\geq 1} B_{k+1}\,\rho^k \ ; \\
&\text{Helmholtz free energy :} \quad \tfrac{A_H}{Nk_BT} = \tfrac{d}{2} - \tfrac{S_{ideal}}{Nk_B} + \sum_{k\geq 1} \tfrac{1}{k}\,B_{k+1}\,\rho^k \ ; \\
&\text{Gibbs free energy :} \quad \tfrac{G}{Nk_BT} = \tfrac{d}{2} + 1 - \tfrac{S_{ideal}}{Nk_B} + \sum_{k\geq 1} \tfrac{k+1}{k}\,B_{k+1}\,\rho^k \ ; \\
&\text{Entropy :} \quad \tfrac{S}{Nk_B} = \tfrac{S_{ideal}}{Nk_B} - \sum_{k\geq 1} \tfrac{1}{k}\,\tfrac{\partial}{\partial T}\,(T\,B_{k+1})\,\rho^k \ ; \\
&\text{Internal energy :} \quad \tfrac{E}{Nk_BT} = \tfrac{d}{2} - T\sum_{k\geq 1} \tfrac{1}{k}\,\tfrac{\partial B_{k+1}}{\partial T}\,\rho^k \ ; \\
&\text{Enthalpy :} \quad \tfrac{H}{Nk_BT} = \tfrac{d}{2} + 1 + \sum_{k\geq 1} \left(B_{k+1} - \tfrac{1}{k}\,T\,\tfrac{\partial B_{k+1}}{\partial T}\right)\,\rho^k \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Below we state the necessary conditions (proven in Appendix A) under which the energy shift of a (classical or quantum) gas remains bounded in the limit of high temperatures, i.e.

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} |e_{res}(\rho, T)| < \infty \ . \tag{19}$$

For simplicity, hereafter, we limit ourselves to the case of quadratic dispersion relation $\alpha = 2$, for which such conditions are (with c_1 and c_2 real coefficients):

- For $d = 1$:

$$B_2(\beta) = c_1\sqrt{\beta} + c_2\beta + o(\beta), \qquad \text{and in this case } \lim_{T\to\infty} e_{res}(\rho, T) = \frac{c_2}{2}\rho\,. \tag{20}$$

  In Section V the explicit expression of B_2 for the LL model as a function of the coupling constant γ [56] is reported: for finite γ, it is in general $c_2 \neq 0$, so that e_{res} is bounded.

- For $d = 2$:

$$B_2(\beta) = c_3\,\beta\log\beta + o(\beta\log\beta), \qquad \text{and in this case } \lim_{T\to\infty} e_{res}(\rho, T) = c_3\,\rho\,. \tag{21}$$

  In Section VI the 2D anyonic gas is studied, and shown to have vanishing internal energy shift in the high-temperature limit. This is in agreement with (21) because, referring as α to the statistical parameter, $B_2^{h.c.}(\alpha, \beta) = c_1(\alpha)\,\beta$ [27], $B_2^{s.c.}(\alpha, \beta) = c_1'(\alpha)\,\beta + c_2(\alpha)\,\beta^{1+|\alpha|} + o(\beta^{1+|\alpha|})$ [34, 38], where c_1, c_1', c_2 are suitable functions, hence both are subleading w.r.t. $\beta\log\beta$ in the $\beta \to 0$ limit.

- For $d > 2$:

$$B_2(\beta) = c_2\beta + o(\beta), \qquad \text{and in this case } \lim_{T\to\infty} e_{res}(\rho, T) = \left(1 - \frac{d}{2}\right) c_2\,\rho\,. \tag{22}$$

IV. THE MODELS

In this Section we recall the main properties of the LL and anyonic models studied in the next Sections: in Subsection IV A we introduce the $1d$ Lieb-Liniger model, in Subsection IV B we outline the main thermodynamic properties of an ideal gas of Abelian anyons (and its soft-core generalization), while in Subsection IV C we briefly introduce the system of Non-Abelian Chern Simons (NACS) particles, i.e. a model of non-Abelian anyons.

A. Lieb-Liniger model

The LL Bose gas is described by an Hamiltonian for N non-relativistic bosons of mass m in one dimension interacting via a pairwise δ-potential [6] having the form

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + 2\lambda\sum_{i<j}\delta(x_i - x_j)\,, \tag{23}$$

where λ is the strength of the δ-like repulsion (we consider here only positive or vanishing values of λ: $\lambda \geq 0$). The effective coupling constant of the LL model is given by the dimensionless quantity

$$\gamma = \frac{2m\lambda}{\hbar^2\rho}\,, \tag{24}$$

where $\rho = N/L$ is the density of the gas. We also use the notation

$$c = \frac{2m\lambda}{\hbar^2}\,, \tag{25}$$

so that $\gamma = c/\rho$. The limit $\gamma \ll 1$ corresponds to the weak coupling limit: in this regime the Bogoliubov approximation gives a good estimate of the ground-state energy of the system [6]. For large γ one approaches instead the Tonks–Girardeau limit [9].

In the LL model temperatures are usually expressed in units of the quantum degeneracy temperature T_D as

$$\tau = \frac{T}{T_D}\,,$$

where

$$k_B T_D = \frac{\hbar^2\rho^2}{2m}\,. \tag{26}$$

The thermodynamic Bethe ansatz integral equations relate at temperature T the pseudo-energies $\varepsilon(k)$ to density $f(k)$ of the occupied levels [7, 15, 16]. One has the following set of coupled equations

$$\varepsilon(k) = -\tilde{\mu} + \frac{\hbar^2k^2}{2m} - k_BT\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{c/\pi}{(k-k')^2+c^2}\log\left(1+e^{-\varepsilon(k')/k_BT}\right)dk' \tag{27a}$$

$$\rho = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(k)\,dk\,, \tag{27b}$$

$$f(k)\left(1+e^{\varepsilon(k)/k_BT}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{c/\pi}{(k-k')^2+c^2}f(k')\,dk'\,, \tag{27c}$$

where $\tilde{\mu}$ is the chemical potential. At $T = 0$ the energy level density gets a compact support, so that Eq. (27c) becomes

$$f(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \int_{-K}^{K}\frac{c/\pi}{(k-k')^2+c^2}f(k')\,dk'\,, \tag{28}$$

where the boundary value K has to be determined from the condition

$$\rho = \int_{-K}^{K} f(k)\, dk\,. \tag{29}$$

If one measures energies in units of $k_B T_D$ and wave-vectors in units of ρ, by defining the scaled wave-vector $\mathcal{K} \equiv k/\rho$, the scaled pseudo-energies $E(\mathcal{K}) \equiv \varepsilon(k)/k_B T_D$ and the scaled potential $\mu \equiv \tilde{\mu}/k_B T_D$, Eqs. (27) read

$$E(\mathcal{K}) = -\mu + \mathcal{K}^2 - \tau \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma/\pi}{(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{K}')^2+\gamma^2} \log\left(1 + e^{-E(\mathcal{K}')/\tau}\right) d\mathcal{K}' \tag{30a}$$

$$1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mathcal{K})\, d\mathcal{K}\,, \tag{30b}$$

$$f(\mathcal{K})\left(1 + e^{E(\mathcal{K})/\tau}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma/\pi}{(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{K}')^2+\gamma^2} f(\mathcal{K}')\, d\mathcal{K}'\,. \tag{30c}$$

One sees that scaled quantities depends only on γ and τ.

Once the TBA integral equations (30) are solved, thermodynamic quantities as the free energy can be computed. In Section V we report both the expressions of internal energy and pressure, and we study the internal energy shift.

B. Abelian Anyons

The dynamics of a systems of N identical Abelian anyons is expressed by [25]

$$H_N = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\vec{p}_i - \alpha\, \vec{a}_i)^2\,, \tag{31}$$

where

$$\vec{a}_i = \hbar \sum_{j \neq i} \nabla_i \theta_{ij}\ ,$$

with θ_{ij} the relative angle between the particles i and j. The study of the thermodynamics for a system of identical Abelian anyons has been developed starting with [27], in which the exact quantum expression for the second virial coefficient has been derived:

$$B_2^{h.c.}(2j+\delta, T) = -\frac{1}{4}\lambda_T^2 + |\delta|\lambda_T^2 - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 \lambda_T^2\,. \tag{32}$$

Eq. (32) holds provided that hard-core wavefunction boundary conditions are assumed, i.e. $\lim_{x_i \to x_j} \psi_N(x_1, \cdots, x_N) = 0$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq N$, being ψ_N the N-body wavefunction in the bosonic gauge [25].

In (32) $\alpha = 2j + \delta$, where α represents the statistical parameter of anyons [25], j is an integer and $|\delta| \leq 1$. We remind that $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$ correspond respectively to free $2d$ spin-less fermions and bosons, and that λ_T is the thermal wavelength defined as

$$\lambda_T = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{Mk_BT}}\,. \tag{33}$$

The virial expansion is expressed in powers of the number density ρ; in the dilute regime, the second virial coefficient gives the leading contribution to the deviation of the energy-pressure relation from the non-interacting case, as a result of rewriting the grand canonical partition function as a cluster expansion [1, 3]. About the higher virial coefficients of the ideal anyonic gas, only numerical approximations of the first few ones are available so far [25], and they are limited to the hard-core case.

The *relative* two-body Hamiltonian for a free system of anyons with statistical parameter α, written in the bosonic description, is of the form [25]

$$H_{rel} = \frac{1}{M}(\vec{p} - \alpha\vec{A})^2\,, \tag{34}$$

where $\vec{A} = (A^1, A^2)$ and $A^i \equiv \frac{\hbar\epsilon^{ij}x^j}{r^2}$ ($i = 1, 2$ and ϵ^{ij} is the completely antisymmetric tensor). Without any loss of generality, the statistical parameter α can be chosen as $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$ [25]. By relaxing the regularity condition on the wavefunctions at contact points, it is possible to obtain the one-parameter family of soft-core boundary conditions (35), according to the method of self-adjoint extensions [57]. The s-wave solutions of the radial Schrödinger equation correspond to a one-parameter family of boundary conditions [36, 38]:

$$\lim_{r\to 0}\left\{r^{|\alpha|}R_0(r) - \frac{\sigma}{\kappa^{2|\alpha|}}\frac{\Gamma(1+|\alpha|)}{\Gamma(1-|\alpha|)}\frac{d}{d(r^{2|\alpha|})}\left[r^{|\alpha|}R_0(r)\right]\right\}\,, \tag{35}$$

and correspondingly read as

$$R_0(r) = \text{const}\cdot\left[J_{|\alpha|}(kr) + \sigma\left(\frac{k}{\kappa}\right)^{2|\alpha|}J_{-|\alpha|}(kr)\right]\,, \tag{36}$$

where $\sigma = \pm 1$ and κ is a momentum scale introduced by the boundary condition.

We refer to

$$\varepsilon \equiv \frac{\beta\kappa^2}{M} \tag{37}$$

as the hard-core parameter of the gas. If $\sigma = -1$, in addition to the solution (36), there is a bound state with energy $E_B = -\varepsilon k_BT = -\kappa^2/M$ and wavefunction

$$R_0(r) = \text{const}\cdot\,K_{|\alpha|}(\kappa r)\,. \tag{38}$$

The second virial coefficient for Abelian anyons in this general case has been computed through different approaches in [37, 38, 58], and is given by

$$B_2^{s.c.}(T) = B_2^{h.c.}(T) - 2\lambda_T^2 \left\{ e^{\varepsilon}\theta(-\sigma) + \frac{\alpha\sigma}{\pi}\sin\pi\alpha \int_0^\infty \frac{dt\, e^{-\varepsilon t}\, t^{|\alpha|-1}}{1 + 2\sigma\cos\pi\alpha\; t^{|\alpha|} + t^{2|\alpha|}} \right\} , \qquad (39)$$

where $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function and $B_2^{h.c.}$ is the hard-core result (32). For $\sigma = +1$, $\varepsilon \to \infty$ one retrieves the hard-core case ($\psi(0) = 0$). The hard-core limit corresponds to scale–invariance [35, 59–61], while for any other boundary condition (i.e., self-adjoint extension of the Hamiltonian) the characteristic scale can be put in relation with the hard-core parameter defined in (37).

C. Non-Abelian Anyons

The $SU(2)$ non-Abelian Chern-Simons (NACS) spin-less particles are point-like sources mutually interacting via a topological non-Abelian Aharonov-Bohm effect [62]. These particles carry non-Abelian charges and non-Abelian magnetic fluxes, so that they acquire fractional spins and obey braid statistics as non-Abelian anyons.

Details on NACS statistical mechanics [39, 63–66] are given in Appendix B for general soft-core boundary conditions [40, 41]. For non-Abelian anyons, the independence on the statistics of the virial coefficients in a strong magnetic field has been established in [67] while the theory of non-relativistic matter with non-Abelian Chern-Simons gauge interaction in (2+1) dimensions was studied in [68]. The N-body Hamiltonian for ideal non-Abelian Chern-Simons quantum particles can be written as [39]

$$H_N = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{1}{M_\alpha} \left(\nabla_{\bar{z}_\alpha} \nabla_{z_\alpha} + \nabla_{z_\alpha} \nabla_{\bar{z}_\alpha} \right) , \qquad (40)$$

where M_α is the mass of the α-th particles, $\nabla_{\bar{z}_\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_\alpha}$ and

$$\nabla_{z_\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha} + \frac{1}{2\pi\kappa} \sum_{\beta\neq\alpha} \hat{Q}^a_\alpha \hat{Q}^a_\beta \frac{1}{z_\alpha - z_\beta} \ . \qquad (41)$$

In Eq. (40) $\alpha = 1, \ldots, N$ labels the particles, $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) = (z_\alpha + \bar{z}_\alpha, -i(z_\alpha - \bar{z}_\alpha))/2$ are their spatial coordinates, and $\hat{Q}^a$'s are the isovector operators in a representation of isospin l. From a field-theoretical viewpoint, the quantum number l labels the irreducible representations of the group of the rotations induced by the coupling of the NACS particle matter field

with the non-Abelian gauge field: as a consequence, the values of l are of course quantized and vary over all the non-negative integer and half-integer numbers; $l = 0$ corresponds to a system of ideal bosons. As usual, a basis of isospin eigenstates can be labeled by l and the magnetic quantum number $m = -l, -l+1, \cdots, l-1, l$.

The thermodynamics depends in general on the value of the isospin quantum number l, the Chern-Simons coupling κ, and the temperature T. In order to enforce the gauge covariance of the theory, the parameter κ in (40) has to fulfill the condition $4\pi\kappa$ = integer [69]. Therefore we adopt the notation:

$$4\pi\kappa \equiv k\,. \tag{42}$$

Similarly to the Abelian anyons case, the $s-$wave general solution of the radial Schrödinger equation (B12), derived from the projection of (40) over a generic two-particle isospin channel (j, j_z), belongs to a one-parameter family accounting for the range of possible boundary conditions, and reads

$$R_0^{j,j_z}(r) = \text{const} \cdot \left[J_{|\omega_j|}(kr) + \sigma \left(\frac{k}{\kappa_{j,j_z}} \right)^{2|\omega_j|} J_{-|\omega_j|}(kr)\,, \right]\,, \tag{43}$$

where $\sigma = \pm 1$, and κ_{j,j_z} is a momentum scale introduced by the boundary condition.

We refer to the $(2l+1)^2$ quantities

$$\varepsilon_{j,j_z} \equiv \frac{\beta\kappa_{j,j_z}^2}{M} \tag{44}$$

as the hard-core parameters of the system [34], with the hard-core limit corresponding to $\sigma = +1$, $\varepsilon_{j,j_z} \to \infty$ for all j, j_z.

We conclude this Section by observing that, according to the regularization used in [27, 70], the second virial coefficient is defined as

$$B_2(\kappa, l, T) - B_2^{(n.i.)}(l, T) = -\frac{2\lambda_T^2}{(2l+1)^2} \left[Z_2'(\kappa, l, T) - Z_2'^{(n.i.)}(l, T) \right]\,, \tag{45}$$

where $B_2^{(n.i.)}(l, T)$ is the second virial coefficient for the system with particle isospin l and without statistical interaction ($\kappa \to \infty$). In Appendix C, $B_2^{(n.i.)}(l, T)$ is expressed in terms of the virial coefficients $B_2^B(T)$, $B_2^F(T)$ of the free Bose and Fermi systems with the considered general wavefunction boundary conditions, and $\left[Z_2'(\kappa, l, T) - Z_2'^{(n.i.)}(l, T) \right]$ is the (convergent) variation of the divergent partition function for the two-body relative Hamiltonian, between the interacting case in exam and the non-interacting limit ($\kappa \to \infty$).

V. INTERNAL ENERGY SHIFT FOR THE LIEB-LINIGER BOSE GAS

Before studying the energy shift of the Lieb-Liniger model, we consider by comparison the $1d$ hard-core bosons model described by the Hamiltonian:

$$H_{HC} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{i<j} V_{HC}(x_i - x_j)\,, \tag{46}$$

where

$$V_{HC}(x) = \begin{cases} \infty & , \text{ for } \mid x \mid < a \\ 0 & , \text{ for } \mid x \mid > a\,. \end{cases} \tag{47}$$

The thermodynamics of the $1d$ hard-core Bose gas has been determined and studied by Thermodynamics Bethe Ansatz [71, 72]: the relation between pressure and internal energy is a Bernoulli equation [73]

$$P = \frac{2E}{L(1-a\rho)}\,, \tag{48}$$

from which it follows that

$$E_{res} \;=\; -\frac{PL}{2}\,a\rho \;\;. \tag{49}$$

In this case the internal energy shift is negative, due to the fact that the pressure increases for the effect of the excluded volume. Furthermore E_{res} vanishes for $a \to 0$, as it should. With regard to the low-dimensional models considered in Sections IV, V, VI, the reader will notice that in $2d$ the hard-core condition results in a vanishing internal energy shift, while it does not do likewise in $1d$ (46-49); however, non-hard-core boundary conditions either in $1d$ (23) and $2d$ (36,43) result in a positive energy shift. Furthermore, unlike the non-hard-core case, the dependence (49) of the internal energy shift on the temperature is given only by T-dependence of the pressure.

Let us now address the internal energy shift of the LL model: using the results of Section IV A, the pressure and the energy are given by

$$\begin{cases} P = \frac{k_B T}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\log\left(1+e^{-\varepsilon(k')/k_B T}\right)dk' \\ E = L\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}f(k)dk\,. \end{cases} \tag{50}$$

At $T=0$ the energy per particle is given by

$$\frac{E(T=0)}{N} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\,\rho^2\,\mathcal{E}(\gamma)\,, \tag{51}$$

where $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(\gamma) = (\gamma/\ell)^3 \int_{-1}^{1} t^2 g(t) dt \ ,$$

while the function $g(t)$ is solution of the linear integral equation

$$g(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{\ell}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{g(t)dt}{\ell^2 + (y-t)^2}$$

with $\ell \equiv c/K$ determined from the condition $\ell = \gamma \int_{-1}^{1} g(t)dt$. It is well known that $\mathcal{E} \to 0$ for $\gamma \to 0$ and $\mathcal{E} \to \pi^2/3$ for $\gamma \to \infty$; furthermore $\mathcal{E} \approx \gamma$ for $\gamma \ll 1$ and $\mathcal{E} \approx (\pi^2/3)\,(1 - 4\gamma)$ for $\gamma \gg 1$ [16].

At $T = 0$ the pressure $P = -(\partial E/\partial L)_N$ is then

$$P = \frac{2E}{L} - \frac{\hbar^2 \rho^3}{m} \frac{\gamma \mathcal{E}'(\gamma)}{2} \ ,$$

from which it follows

$$\frac{E}{N} = \frac{P}{2\rho} + k_B T_D \frac{\gamma \mathcal{E}'(\gamma)}{2} \ ,$$

and therefore

$$\frac{E_{res}}{N k_B T_D} = \frac{\gamma \mathcal{E}'(\gamma)}{2} \, . \tag{52}$$

It is immediately seen that the shift is positive and that it vanishes for $\gamma = 0$ ($1d$ ideal Bose gas) and for $\gamma \to \infty$ (TG gas, having the equation of state of the $1d$ ideal Fermi gas). Furthermore

$$\frac{e_{res}}{k_B T_D} \approx \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma}{2} & , \text{ for } \gamma \ll 1 \\ \frac{2\pi^2}{3\gamma} & , \text{ for } \gamma \gg 1 \end{cases} \tag{53}$$

and a maximum of the shift appears at a finite value of γ (at $\gamma \approx 4.7$). The plot of $e_{res}(T = 0)$ in units of $k_B T_D$ is the black lowest curve in Fig.1.

At finite temperature one gets

$$\frac{E_{res}}{N k_B T_D} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^2 f(\mathcal{K}) d\mathcal{K} - \frac{\tau}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log\left(1 + e^{-E(\mathcal{K})/\tau}\right) d\mathcal{K} \, . \tag{54}$$

A plot of Eq. (54) as a function of the coupling γ for different scaled temperatures is again in Fig.1. Even though the shift depends (rather weakly) on the temperature, the same structure occurring at zero temperature is seen: a maximum appears at a finite value of γ, i.e. between the two ideal bosonic and fermionic limits.

The high-temperature limit can be explicitly studied: indeed the second virial coefficient [16, 56] written in scaled units is

$$B_2 = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} + e^{\gamma^2/2\tau} \left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^{\sqrt{\gamma^2/2\tau}} e^{-y^2}\, dy - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \right\} \lambda_T \,, \tag{55}$$

where $\lambda_T = \sqrt{2\pi\hbar^2/mk_BT}$ is the thermal De Broglie wavelength (33). Using the virial expansion (18) (valid for $\tau \gg 4\pi$) one gets at the first non-trivial order (i.e., B_2):

$$\frac{E_{res}}{Nk_BT} \approx \left(-T\frac{\partial B_2}{\partial T} - \frac{B_2}{2} \right) \rho \,. \tag{56}$$

Using the relation $\rho\lambda_T = 2\sqrt{\pi/\tau}$, from (55)-(56) one gets then

$$\frac{E_{res}}{Nk_BT_D} \approx \gamma + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\tau}}\, \gamma^2\, e^{\gamma^2/2\tau} \left[\mathrm{Erf}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma^2}{2\tau}} \right) - 1 \right] , \tag{57}$$

where we have introduced the error function $\mathrm{Erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x dy\, e^{-y^2}$ [74]. Using the asymptotic expansion

$$\sqrt{\pi} x e^{x^2} [1 - \mathrm{Erf}(x)] \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2x^2}$$

valid for large x, one gets

$$\frac{E_{res}}{Nk_BT_D} = \begin{cases} \gamma, \text{ for } \gamma^2 \ll 2\tau \\ \frac{\tau}{\gamma}, \text{ for } \gamma^2 \gg 2\tau \,. \end{cases} \tag{58}$$

One explicitly sees that $e_{res} \to 0$ in the two ideal limits $\gamma \to 0$ and $\gamma \to \infty$ and that there is maximum between them (roughly $\gamma_{max} \sim \sqrt{\tau}$). From (58) we also see that if one fixes a finite coupling γ and increases the temperature (i.e., τ), then the internal energy shift density approaches the value $k_BT_D\gamma$. Remarkably, the internal energy shift is finite also for infinite temperature: this is shown in Fig.2 where e_{res}/k_BT_D is plotted as a function of the scaled temperature τ for different values γ, showing that the asymptotic value γ is reached for large temperatures.

VI. ENERGY-PRESSURE RELATION FOR ANYONIC MODELS

In this Section we study the Abelian and non-Abelian anyonic gases introduced in Section II and we discuss their internal energy shift: we show that in the hard-core case the energy-pressure obey (7), therefore in this case the gases have vanishing internal energy shift. The



FIG. 1: Internal energy shift density in units of $k_B T_D$ as a function of the coupling γ for different scaled temperatures τ: from bottom to top $\tau = 0, 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2$.

soft-core condition introduces instead a scale and this gives raise to a positive internal energy shift.

In the first part of this Section we treat together the Abelian and non-Abelian gases: the Hamiltonians for Abelian/non-Abelian anyons are defined respectively in (31) and (40): they are homogeneous with respect to the particles coordinates and they scale as

$$H_N(\lambda \mathbf{r}_i, \lambda^{-1} \mathbf{p}_i) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} H_N(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{p}_i)\,. \tag{59}$$

The hard-core condition at coincident points (in both Abelian and the non-Abelian models) is a particular case of a scale–invariant boundary condition, because all finite-λ-scalings of the N-body eigenfunctions

$$\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}_i) \equiv \psi(\lambda \mathbf{r}_i), \quad \lambda \neq 0 \tag{60}$$

are hard-core eigenfunctions too, and vanishing whenever any coordinate sits on the boundary of the rescaled volume. Denoting by A the area of the system, in the hard-core case the coordinate scaling results in a dilatation of the energy spectrum:

$$Sp^{h.c.}[H_N(\lambda^2 A)] = \lambda^{-2} \times Sp^{h.c.}[H_N(A)]\,, \tag{61}$$

then the map $(A, \beta) \to (\lambda^2 A, \lambda^2 \beta)$ let $(\log Z)$ invariant. As a consequence of (3) and (6) we



FIG. 2: Internal energy shift density in units of $k_B T_D$ as a function of the scaled temperature τ for different values γ from Eq. (57): from top to bottom it is $\gamma = 10, 3, 1, 0.3, 0.1$ (the dashed line is the asymptotic value γ).

obtain the exact identity:

$$E = P\,A\,, \tag{62}$$

in agreement with the more general relation (7). Equivalently, hard-core anyonic gases fulfill of course:

$$H = 2E\,. \tag{63}$$

The validity of (62) for the particular cases represented by 2D Bose and 2D (spin-less) Fermi ideal gases is remarked in [25]. Thermodynamic relations (62)-(63) are not fulfilled by general soft-core NACS ideal gases.

We show now that the fulfillment of Eq. (62) is related to suitable conditions on the virial coefficients. To show it explicitly, let us consider now the harmonic regularization of the scale-invariant Hamiltonian for N anyons

$$H_{N,\omega} = H_N + \omega^2 H_1\,, \tag{64}$$

where $H_1 \equiv (M/2)\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{r}_i^2$, and H_N, H_1 transform according to

$$H_N \to \frac{1}{\lambda^2} H_N, \quad H_1 \to \lambda^2 H_1 \tag{65}$$

under the canonical scaling transformation $(\mathbf{r}_i,\, \mathbf{p}_i) \to (\lambda\, \mathbf{r}_i,\, \frac{1}{\lambda}\, \mathbf{p}_i)$; as a consequence, the following relation holds for the regularized Hamiltonian, expressed in terms of scaling for the regularizing frequency and the spatial coordinates:

$$H_{N,\gamma\,\omega}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\, \mathbf{r}_i,\, \sqrt{\gamma}\, \mathbf{p}_i) = \gamma\, H_{N,\omega}(\mathbf{r}_i,\, \mathbf{p}_i)\,, \quad \forall \gamma \neq 0\,. \tag{66}$$

Notice that the harmonic regularization breaks the scale–invariance, which is retrieved in the $\omega \to 0$ limit.

Now we apply the hard-core condition. For any eigenfunction $\psi_n(\mathbf{r}_i)$ of $H_{N,\omega}(\mathbf{r}_i,\, \mathbf{p}_i)$ fulfilling the hard-core boundary condition, we correspondingly get $\tilde{\psi}_n(\mathbf{r}_i) \equiv \psi_n(\sqrt{\gamma}\, \mathbf{r}_i)$ (also fulfilling the hard-core boundary condition) as eigenfunction of $H_{N,\gamma\,\omega}(\mathbf{r}_i,\, \mathbf{p}_i)$, so that, denoting the hard-core condition by the superscript "$h.c.$", the frequency acts barely as a dilatation for the energy spectrum:

$$Sp[H^{h.c.}_{N,\gamma\,\omega}] = \gamma \times Sp[H^{h.c.}_{N,\omega}]\,, \tag{67}$$

whence the N-body partition function

$$Z^{h.c.}_N(\beta, \omega) = \mathrm{Tr}\, e^{-\beta H^{h.c.}_{N,\omega}} \tag{68}$$

fulfills $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$Z^{h.c.}_N(\beta, \omega) = Z^{h.c.}_N(\beta/x, x\omega)\,. \tag{69}$$

Assuming valid the existence of the virial expansion, one has that the thermodynamic relations

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L} = \sum\limits_{N=0}^{\infty} z^N\, Z_N\,, \\ P = \frac{k_B T}{A} \ln \mathcal{L}\,, \\ P = \rho k_B T \sum\limits_{N=0}^{\infty} B_{N+1}(T)\, \rho^N\,, \\ \rho = z\, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{1}{A} \ln \mathcal{L} \right) |_{A,T} \end{cases} \tag{70}$$

imply that the coefficients $B_{N+1}(T)\lambda_T^{-2N}$ of the pressure expansion in powers of the dilution parameter $\rho\lambda_T^2$ can be expressed as a rational combination $f_N(\{Z_i(\beta,\omega)\})$ of the first partition functions up to Z_{N+1}. The assumed existence of virial expansion, together with scaling (69), enforces

$$B_{N+1}(T)\lambda_T^{-2N} = \lim_{\omega\to 0} f_N(\{Z_i(\beta,\omega)\}) = \lim_{\beta'\to\infty} f_N(\{Z_i(\beta', \omega = 0)\})\,, \tag{71}$$

so that $B_{N+1}(T)\lambda_T^{-2N}$ has to be independent of temperature, thus hard-core ideal anyonic gases fulfill

$$B_{k+1}(T) \propto T^{-k}\,,. \tag{72}$$

From this relation follows that for these systems the last three identities of (18) take the form

$$\left.\begin{array}{ll} \text{Entropy}: & \frac{S}{Nk_B} = 2 - \log(\rho\lambda_T^2) + \sum\limits_{k\geq 1} \frac{k-1}{k}\, B_{k+1}\, \rho^k\,; \\ \text{Internal energy}: & \frac{E}{Nk_BT} = 1 + \sum\limits_{k\geq 1} B_{k+1}\, \rho^k\,; \\ \text{Enthalpy}: & \frac{H}{Nk_BT} = 2 + 2\sum\limits_{k\geq 1} B_{k+1}\, \rho^k\,. \end{array}\right\} \text{(hard-core case)} \tag{73}$$

We point out that the corresponding entropy and heat capacity at constant volume are unaffected by the statistical interaction at the lowest order of virial expansion (being independent of B_2). Using formula (C2) for B_2, one can obtain the leading deviation of the various thermodynamic quantities from their ideal gas value.

An important consequence of (72) is that from (18) and (73) one gets again Eq.(62) at all orders of the virial expansion for hard-core Abelian and non-Abelian anyonic gases (within the convergence radii of these expansion) [75], in agreement with the general relation 7

The scaling properties for isoentropic processes derived in Sec. II apply in particular to Abelian and non-Abelian anyonic gases with hard-core conditions. Isoentropic processes between initial and final states at equilibrium of hard-core anyonic gases are characterized by the following relation between internal energy and temperature:

$$E \propto A^{-1}\,; \quad T \propto A^{-1} \tag{74}$$

As a consequence, for hard-core anyonic gases subjected to an isotropic transformation one gets $P \propto A^{-2}$, in agreements with (62) and (74), and the dilution parameter $x \equiv \rho\lambda_T^2$ remains invariant along isoentropic curves. Furthermore, according to (12), the internal energy associated with equilibrium states of an isoentropic process takes the form $E = y \times Nk_BT$, where y remains constant along the isoentropic curve, while it depends solely on the dilution parameter $x = \rho\,\lambda_T^2$ over the entire phase diagram. Since we are in two dimensions, y is just the compressibility factor.

Remarkably, Eq. (12) traces the study of free anyonic thermodynamics back to the determination of how the compressibility factor $y(x)$ depends on the dilution parameter x,

and this is a genuine consequence of the scaling symmetry, valid therefore also beyond the radius of convergence of the virial expansion.

For the family of systems represented by Abelian anyons gases, where α will denote henceforth the statistical parameter as in Subsection IV B, the factor y can be parametrized as $y = y(x,\, \alpha)$. The cases $y(x,0)$ (2D Bose gas) and $y(x,1)$ (2D Fermi gas) can be traced back to the analysis in Chapter 4 of Ref. [25]; as the dilution parameter x is swept from 0 to ∞ the gas moves from ideality to an increasingly dense regime, and $y(x,0)$ monotonically decreases from 1 to 0, while $y(x,1)$ monotonically increases from 1 to ∞; low/high density limit behaviors immediately follow from [25]:

$$\begin{cases} y(x,0) \sim 1 - \frac{x}{4} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2l}}{(2l+1)!} B_{2l}, & x \ll 1 \\ y(x,0) \sim \frac{\pi^2}{6} x^{-1}, & x \gg 1 \end{cases} , \qquad \begin{cases} y(x,1) \sim 1 + \frac{x}{4} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2l}}{(2l+1)!} B_{2l}, & x \ll 1 \\ y(x,1) \sim \frac{x}{2}, & x \gg 1 \end{cases} , \tag{75}$$

where B_n denote here the Bernoulli numbers [74]. As expected, the general behavior at intermediate α is non-trivial, while the basic qualitative statements about $y(x,\alpha)$ are that $y(0,\alpha) = 1$ for any α (limit of ideal gas) and

$$y(x,\alpha) \sim 1 - \frac{1}{4}(1 - 4\alpha + 2\alpha^2)\, x, \quad x \ll 1\,. \tag{76}$$

since the dominance of the second virial coefficient in a very dilute regime. This approximate behavior interpolates the curves $y(x,0)$ and $y(x,1)$, and the sign of its slope at $x = 0$ switches at $\alpha = 1 - \sqrt{1/2}$, i.e., within the dilute regime approximation the statistical energy is negative for $0 \leq \alpha < 1 - \sqrt{1/2}$, positive for $1 - \sqrt{1/2} < \alpha \leq 1$.

A remarkable perturbative result is argued in Eq.(22) of [76] about the ground state energy for Abelian anyons, which, by assuming the continuity of $E(N, A, T)$ at $T = 0$, reads here

$$y(x,\alpha) \sim \frac{\alpha}{2}\, x, \quad x \gg 1 \;\text{ and }\; \alpha \ll 1\,. \tag{77}$$

Let us pause here for a comment about the classical limit of the hard-core anyonic system. In the picture of anyons as charge-flux composites written for instance in the bosonic bases, one is free to consider arbitrarily large magnetic fluxes $\Phi = \alpha\, h/q$, $q =$ being the charge of the particles. The kinetic terms alone would yield the Bose statistics for the quantum case, and the Boltzmann statistics for its classical limit. The quadratic terms in α should be regarded as self-energies of the vortices (in both cases). Finally, the momentum-flux terms correspond

to the magnetic inter-particle interaction, and they are responsible for the non-trivial anyonic thermodynamics, which is periodic in the flux variable $\alpha = q\Phi/h$. Correspondingly, in the classical limit the magnetic vector potential does not affect the motion of particles at all, or, in other words, the Aharonov-Bohm effect disappears for classical charges, and the gas would approach the classical ideal gas law, no matter how large the fluxes attached to the particles are. Anyonic thermodynamics is intrinsically a quantum one, ruled solely by the dilution parameter $x = \rho\lambda_T^2$ because no additional length scale (besides the thermal length) is set by the flux parameter. The vanishing of the dilution parameter in the classical limit leads again (from a different point of view) to a trivial thermodynamics regardless of the size of fluxes. Finally, from the viewpoint of density of states, we may think about the effect of the statistical interaction in terms of what happens, e.g., to the 2-anyon spectrum in an harmonic trap $(1/2)m\,\omega_0^2\,r^2$. This interaction acts [25] as a uniform upward spectral shift whose α-dependence is periodic with finite period $\Delta\alpha = 2$, therefore this shift becomes irrelevant (to the density of states) for any values of the flux in the classical limit $k_BT \gg \hbar\omega_0$.

A. Soft-core anyons

The scale–invariance in force for hard-core anyons does not apply in presence of soft-core boundary conditions, in which case we will compare internal energy and pressure within the dilute regime (up to the first order in the dilution parameter $\rho\lambda_T^2$). Let us define the relative internal energy shift density e_{rel} as the dimensionless quantity

$$e_{rel} \equiv \frac{E - PA}{Nk_BT} = -\rho\left(B_2^{s.c.} + T\frac{d}{dT}B_2^{s.c.}\right) + O((\rho\lambda_T^2)^2)\,. \tag{78}$$

For Abelian anyons, Eqs. (39)-(78) give

$$e_{rel} = 2\rho\lambda_T^2\,T\frac{d}{dT}f(T) + O((\rho\lambda_T^2)^2)\,, \tag{79}$$

where

$$f(T) \equiv e^{\varepsilon(T)}\theta(-\sigma) + \frac{\alpha\sigma}{\pi}\sin\pi\alpha\int_0^\infty \frac{dt\,e^{-\varepsilon(T)t}\,t^{|\alpha|-1}}{1 + 2\sigma\cos\pi\alpha\;t^{|\alpha|} + t^{2|\alpha|}}\,, \quad \varepsilon(T) = \frac{\kappa^2}{Mk_BT}\,. \tag{80}$$

The resulting shift is

$$e_{rel}(\alpha, T, \varepsilon) = 2\rho\lambda_T^2\,\varepsilon\left[-e^{\varepsilon}\theta(-\sigma) + \frac{\alpha\sigma}{\pi}\sin\pi\alpha\int_0^\infty \frac{dt\,e^{-\varepsilon t}\,t^{|\alpha|}}{1 + 2\sigma\cos\pi\alpha\;t^{|\alpha|} + t^{2|\alpha|}}\right] + O((\rho\lambda_T^2)^2)\,, \tag{81}$$

whose leading term in $\rho\lambda_T^2$ is illustrated in Fig. 3. The plot of the shift $e_{rel}(\alpha, T, \varepsilon, \sigma = 1)$ exhibits a smooth behavior in the bosonic points and a cusp in the fermionic ones, as soon as the hard-core condition is relaxed. We observe that the restriction of $e_{rel}(\alpha, T, \varepsilon)$ over the interval $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ is not a monotonic function of α for any ε. The proportionality $E = PA$ remains valid at the bosonic points also in the soft-core case [i.e. $e_{rel}(\alpha = 2n, T, \varepsilon) = 0$], and the monotonicity of the shift e_{rel} as a function of α occurs for any $\varepsilon \in [\varepsilon_-, \varepsilon_+]$, $\varepsilon_- \approx 0.13$, $\varepsilon_+ \approx 3.0$, and in particular the relative shift is maximal at the fermionic points for any $\varepsilon \in [\varepsilon_-, \varepsilon_+]$, while outside of this interval the shift due to the soft-core boundary conditions reaches its maximum at an internal point $\alpha_{max}(\varepsilon)$, featuring the properties $\alpha_{max}(\varepsilon) \to 1^-$ for $\varepsilon \to (\varepsilon_\pm)^\pm$, and $\alpha_{max}(\varepsilon) \to 0^+$ for $\varepsilon \to 0$ or $\varepsilon \to \infty$.

As a particular case, the following expressions, plotted in Fig. 4 for $\sigma = \pm 1$, hold for the semion case ($\alpha = \text{integer} + 1/2$):

$$e_{rel}(\alpha = 1/2, T, \varepsilon, \sigma = +1) \begin{cases} = \rho\lambda_T^2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi}} - \varepsilon\, e^{\varepsilon}\ \mathrm{erfc}(\sqrt{\varepsilon})\right) + O((\rho\lambda_T^2)^2) \\ \sim \rho\lambda_T^2 \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi}}, \quad \varepsilon \to 0 \\ \sim \rho\lambda_T^2 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi\varepsilon}}, \quad \varepsilon \to \infty \end{cases} , \tag{82}$$

and

$$e_{rel}(\alpha = 1/2, T, \varepsilon, \sigma = -1) \begin{cases} = \rho\lambda_T^2 \left(\varepsilon\, e^{\varepsilon}\, [\mathrm{erfc}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}) - 2] - \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi}}\right) + O((\rho\lambda_T^2)^2) \\ \sim -\rho\lambda_T^2 \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi}}, \quad \varepsilon \to 0 \\ \sim -2\,\rho\lambda_T^2\, \varepsilon\, e^{\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon \to \infty \end{cases} . \tag{83}$$

Similar expressions can be worked out for the non-Abelian case: for simplicity, we only consider the completely isotropic case $\varepsilon_{jj_z} \equiv \varepsilon$, whose relative energy shift defined above, by virtue of (C6)- (C7)-(78)-(81), is

$$e_{rel}(\kappa, l, T, \varepsilon, \sigma) = \frac{1}{(2l+1)^2} \sum_{j=0}^{2l} (2j{+}1)\, e_{rel}(\nu_j, T, \varepsilon, \sigma), \qquad \nu_j \equiv \left(\omega_j - \frac{1 + (-1)^{j+2l}}{2}\right) \, mod\, 2{-}1 \ . \tag{84}$$

Note that, in a soft-core dilute NACS gas, the internal energy has always a finite relative shift from its ideal-gas value $E = PA$; in particular, the shift (84) has the same sign of the parameter $\sigma = \pm 1$, due to the positivity of the denominator $(1{+}2\sigma \cos \pi\alpha\ t^{|\alpha|} {+} t^{2|\alpha|})$ in (81); indeed, the $2l{+}1$ distinct j-channels give contributions of equal sign to (84). The dependence of the relative energy shift on $\varepsilon_{jj_z} \equiv \varepsilon$ is illustrated in Fig. 5 for the case $4\pi\kappa \equiv k = 3$, $l = 1/2$, $\sigma = +1$. The figure clearly shows a power-law decay to zero of $e_{rel}/(\rho\lambda_T^2)$, as



FIG. 3: Leading term of the relative energy shift $e_{rel}(\alpha, \varepsilon, T)$ in units of $\rho\lambda_T^2$ as a function of the statistical parameter α, for different values of the hard-core parameter for Abelian anyons. The 5 curves are obtained for $\sigma = 1$: from top to bottom, $\varepsilon = 1$ (green), 2 (blue), 0.1 (magenta), 10 (red), ∞=hard-core or 0 (orange). The relative energy shift is non-negative, vanishing at the bosonic point $\alpha = 0$, and reaches its minimum at $\varepsilon = \infty, 0$ (where e_{rel} vanishes for any α). $e_{rel}(\alpha, \varepsilon, T)$ is periodic in α with period 2, and is symmetric with respect to all the integer value of α; for soft-core cases ($\varepsilon > 0$), e_{rel} has in general cusps in α at the fermionic points.

the hard-core parameter ε approaches very small/large values (in agreement with the scale–invariance of these two limit cases): $e_{rel}(k = 3, l = 1/2, \varepsilon, T)/(\rho\lambda_T^2) \approx 0.12\,\varepsilon^{0.15}$ for $\varepsilon \ll 1$, while $e_{rel}(k = 3, l = 1/2, \varepsilon, T)/(\rho\lambda_T^2) \approx 0.1\,\varepsilon^{-0.15}$ for $\varepsilon \gg 1$. The vanishing of $e_{rel}/(\rho\lambda_T^2)$ in the scale–invariant limit cases is asymptotically approached, although for very large/small ε: for $\varepsilon = 10^{\pm 5}$, $e_{rel}(k = 3, l = 1/2, \varepsilon, T)/(\rho\lambda_T^2)$ still deviates from its asymptotic value zero by $\approx 2 \times 10^{-2}$ in both cases. Therefore even a tiny deviation from scale–invariance may still have an impact on the thermodynamics of this family of non-Abelian anyons.

This feature can be contrasted with the decay of $e_{rel}(\alpha = \pm 1, \varepsilon, T)$, as $\log \varepsilon \to \pm\infty$, for Abelian anyons in the fermionic limit: in this case, the energy shift is a power law in ε (and precisely linear) for $\varepsilon \ll 1$, while instead it decays exponentially in ε for $\varepsilon \gg 1$, as seen in Fig.6. The shift $e_{rel}(\alpha = \pm 1, \varepsilon, T)$ reaches its maximum $2/e \approx 0.74$ (in units of $(\rho\lambda_T^2)$) at $\varepsilon = 1$.



FIG. 4: Relative energy shift $e_{rel}(\alpha = 1/2, \sigma, \varepsilon, T)$ for semions ($\alpha = integer + 1/2$) in units of $\rho\lambda_T^2$ as a function of the hard-core parameter in logarithmic scale; $\sigma = +1, -1$ in the left/right panel. Left: the relative shift $e_{rel}(\alpha = 1/2)$ is positive for $\sigma = 1$, vanishing in the scale-invariant limits $\varepsilon = 0, \infty$, and reaches its maximum ≈ 0.14 about ε of the order of unity. Right: there is a bound state in the energy spectrum for $\sigma = -1$, and the corresponding relative energy shift is negative and rapidly tending to $-\infty$ as ε increases.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have shown that a particularly simple relation of proportionality between internal energy and pressure holds for scale–invariant thermodynamic systems (with Hamiltonian homogeneous function of the coordinates), including classical and quantum – Bose and Fermi – ideal gases. To quantify the deviation from such a relation we have introduced and studied the internal energy shift as the difference between the internal energy of the system and the corresponding value $E = (d/\alpha)PA$ of the internal energy for scale–invariant systems. This internal energy shift is a kind of "departure" internal energy, where in general a departure function measures deviations from the ideal gas behavior. The internal energy shift defined in (7) measures a deviation from the ideal gas by keeping V and P fixed: this has to be compared with the conventionally defined departure (or residual) internal energy (defined for fixed P and T). The latter is not zero for general scale–invariant systems (and in particular it is not vanishing for hard-core ideal anyons): one can indeed see that if a system is scale–invariant and the conventionally defined departure internal energy is zero, then it has to be an ideal gas. At variance, our definition (17) of the internal energy shift is vanishing for all scale–invariant systems, including hard-core anyons for which we show that $E = PA$. An example of system which is scale–invariant, but having non-vanishing



FIG. 5: In black, $e_{rel}(k=3, l=1/2, \varepsilon, \sigma=+1, T)$ in units of $\rho\lambda_T^2$ as a function of the hard-core parameter ε in log-log scale, for $k=3$ and $l=1/2$, in the completely isotropic case for non-Abelian gas ($\varepsilon_{0,0}=\varepsilon_{1,m}=\varepsilon$, for $m=1,0,-1$). In green and blue, small-ε and large-ε asymptotic behaviors (respectively $\approx 0.12\,\varepsilon^{0.15}$ and $\approx 0.1\,\varepsilon^{-0.15}$).



FIG. 6: $e_{rel}(\alpha=\pm 1, \varepsilon, \sigma=+1, T)$ in units of $\rho\lambda_T^2$ as a function of the hard-core parameter ε in logarithmic scale.

conventionally-defined departure internal energy is the hard-core anyonic gas, as discussed in Section VI: summarizing, the quantity (17) can be regarded as a good measure of the deviation from scale–invariance for non-ideal gases.

In particular, we have provided criteria for which the internal energy shift density of an

imperfect (classical or quantum) gas is a bounded function of temperature. We have also shown that for general scale–invariant systems the dependence of virial coefficients upon the temperature is very simple, and is expressed by Eq. (13).

We have considered deviations from the energy-pressure proportionality in low-dimensional models of gases which interpolate between the ideal Bose and the ideal Fermi gases, focusing the attention on the Lieb-Liniger model in $1d$ and on the anyonic gas in $2d$. In $1d$ the internal energy shift is determined from the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz integral equations and an explicit relation for it is provided at high temperature: the internal energy shift is positive, it vanishes in the two limits of zero and infinite coupling (respectively the ideal Bose and the Tonks-Girardeau gas) and it has a maximum at a finite, temperature-depending, value of the coupling. Remarkably, at fixed coupling the internal energy shift density saturates to a finite value for infinite temperature.

In $2d$ we have considered systems of Abelian anyons and non-Abelian Chern-Simons particles and we have showed that the relation between the internal energy and the pressure of anyonic gas is exactly the same found for $2D$ Bose and Fermi ideal gases as long as the hard-core case is considered. Soft-core boundary conditions introduce a length scale and determine a non-vanishing internal energy shift: we have provided details about this shift in the dilute limit. Asymptotic expressions with respect to the hard-core parameter ε are derived for both Abelian and non-Abelian soft-core anyonic gases.

Acknowledgements: We thank Thors Hans Hansson and Marton Kormos for discussions, and Yoseph Stein for valuable discussions during the early stages of the work. This study has been supported by Nordita Grant number ERC 321031-DM and VR VCB 621-2012-2983.

Appendix A: Proof of conditions on the boundedness of internal energy shift

We use Eqs. (18) in order to write the internal energy shift in the dilute limit for quadratic dispersion relation of the particles

$$e_{res} = \frac{E - \frac{d}{2}PV}{N} = \frac{1}{\beta}\left(\frac{E}{Nk_BT} - \frac{\frac{d}{2}PV}{Nk_BT}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{2}\frac{f(x)}{x}\right)\rho\,, \tag{A1}$$

where $f(x)$ denotes the second virial coefficient as a function of its unique variable $x \equiv 1/(k_BT)$. We are interested in the boundedness of e_{res} as the high-temperature limit $x \to 0$

is approached, i.e.

$$\lim_{x\to 0}\left[\partial_x f(x) - \frac{d}{2}\frac{f(x)}{x}\right] = const. \tag{A2}$$

By setting $g(x) \equiv f(x)/x^{d/2}$, the above condition requires $g(x) = c_1' + c_2'\, x^{1-(d/2)} + o(x^{1-(d/2)})$ for any $d \neq 2$, and $g(x) = c_1' + c_2' \log x + o(\log x)$ for $d = 2$, with c_i' arbitrary constants, therefore

$$\begin{cases} f(x) = c_1\, x^{d/2} + c_2\, x + o(x)\ , & \text{for } d \neq 2 \\ f(x) = c_2\, x \log x + o(x \log x)\ , & \text{for } d = 2 \end{cases}\ , \tag{A3}$$

from which criteria (20)-(22)-(21) follow.

Appendix B: NACS quantum statistical mechanics

The interaction terms in H_N in the NACS model can be removed by a similarity transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} H_N &\longrightarrow U H_N U^{-1} = H_N^{\text{free}} = -\sum_{\alpha}^{N} \frac{2}{M_\alpha}\partial_{\bar{z}_\alpha}\partial_{z_\alpha} \\ \Psi_H &\longrightarrow U\Psi_H = \Psi_A \end{aligned} \tag{B1}$$

where $U(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$ satisfies the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) equation ([77]):

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha} - \frac{1}{2\pi\kappa}\sum_{\beta\neq\alpha}\hat{Q}_\alpha^a \hat{Q}_\beta^a \frac{1}{z_\alpha - z_\beta}\right) U(z_1, \ldots, z_N) = 0\ , \tag{B2}$$

and $\Psi_H(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$ stands for the wavefunction of the N-body system of the NACS particles in the holomorphic gauge. $\Psi_A(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$ obeys the braid statistics [65] due to the transformation function $U(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$, while $\Psi_H(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$ satisfies ordinary statistics: $\Psi_A(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$ is commonly referred to as the NACS particle wavefunction in the anyon gauge.

The statistical mechanics of the NACS particles can be studied in the low-density regime in terms of the cluster expansion of the grand partition function Ξ

$$\Xi = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \nu^N \,\text{Tr}\, e^{-\beta H_N}\ . \tag{B3}$$

The virial expansion (with the pressure expressed in powers of the density $\rho = \frac{N}{A}$) is given as

$$P = \rho k_B T \left[1 + B_2(T)\rho + B_3(T)\rho^2 + \ldots\right]\ , \tag{B4}$$

where $B_n(T)$ is the n-th virial coefficient, which can be expressed in terms of the first cluster coefficients $b_1, \cdots b_n$. The second virial coefficient $B_2(T)$ turns out to be [3]

$$B_2(T) \;=\; -\frac{b_2}{b_1^2} \;=\; A\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{Z_2}{Z_1^2}\right) , \tag{B5}$$

where A is the area and $Z_N = \mathrm{Tr}\, e^{-\beta H_N}$ the N-particle partition function. We assume that the NACS particles have equal masses and belong to the same isospin multiplet $\{|l, m>\}$ with $m = -l, \ldots, l$. The quantity $Z_1 = \mathrm{Tr}\, e^{-\beta H_1}$ is then given by

$$Z_1 = (2l+1)A/\lambda_T^2 \,. \tag{B6}$$

The computation of $Z_2 = \mathrm{Tr}\, e^{-\beta H_2}$ is discussed in [32–34]. It is convenient to separate the center-of-mass and relative coordinates: defining $Z = (z_1 + z_2)/2$ and $z = z_1 - z_2$ one can write

$$H_2 = H_{\mathrm{cm}} + H_{\mathrm{rel}} = -\frac{1}{2\mu}\partial_Z \partial_{\bar{Z}} - \frac{1}{\mu}(\nabla_z \nabla_{\bar{z}} + \nabla_{\bar{z}} \nabla_z) \;\; , \tag{B7}$$

where $\mu \equiv M/2$ is the two-body reduced mass, $\nabla_{\bar{z}} = \partial_{\bar{z}}$ and

$$\nabla_z = \partial_z + \frac{\Omega}{z} \;\; .$$

Ω is a block-diagonal matrix given by

$$\Omega = \hat{Q}_1^a \hat{Q}_2^a/(2\pi\kappa) = \sum_{j=0}^{2l} \omega_j \otimes I_j \;\; ,$$

with $\omega_j \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi\kappa}\,[j(j+1) - 2l(l+1)]$. Z_2 can be then written as

$$Z_2 = 2A\lambda_T^{-2} Z_2' \,, \tag{B8}$$

where $Z_2' = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{rel}}\, e^{-\beta H_{\mathrm{rel}}}$. The similarity transformation $G(z, \bar{z}) = \exp\left\{-\frac{\Omega}{2}\ln(z\bar{z})\right\}$, acting as

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathrm{rel}} \;&\longrightarrow\; H'_{\mathrm{rel}} = G^{-1} H_{\mathrm{rel}} G, \\ \Psi(z, \bar{z}) \;&\longrightarrow\; \Psi'(z, \bar{z}) = G^{-1}\Psi(z, \bar{z}) \;\; , \end{aligned} \tag{B9}$$

gives rise to an Hamiltonian H'_{rel} manifestly Hermitian and leaves invariant Z_2'. The explicit expression for H'_{rel} is

$$H'_{\mathrm{rel}} = -\frac{1}{\mu}(\nabla'_z \nabla'_{\bar{z}} + \nabla'_{\bar{z}} \nabla'_z) \;\; , \tag{B10}$$

where $\nabla'_z = \partial_z + \Omega/2z$ and $\nabla'_{\bar{z}} = \partial_{\bar{z}} - \Omega/2\bar{z}$.

By rewriting $H'_{\rm rel}$ in polar coordinates and projecting it onto the subspace of total isospin j, its correspondence with the Hamiltonian for (Abelian) anyons in the Coulomb gauge, having statistical parameter given by $\alpha_s = \omega_j$, becomes evident:

$$H'_j = -\frac{1}{2\mu}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + i\omega_j\right)^2\right] . \tag{B11}$$

The same analysis discussed in Section IV B shows that the radial factor of the j, j_z- component of the relative $(2l+1)^2-$vector wavefunction $\psi = e^{in\theta}R_n(r)$ obeys the Bessel equation

$$\frac{1}{M}\left[-\frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}r\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{(n+\omega_j)^2}{r^2}\right] R_n^{j,j_z}(r) = ER_n^{j,j_z}(r) \equiv \frac{k^2}{M}R_n^{j,j_z}(r)\,, \tag{B12}$$

whose general solution is

$$R_n^{j,j_z}(r) = A^{j,j_z}J_{|n+\omega_j|}(kr) + B^{j,j_z}J_{-|n+\omega_j|}(kr) \ . \tag{B13}$$

Appendix C: Second Virial Coefficient: general soft-core case

If one removes the hard-core boundary condition for the relative $(2l+1)^2$-component two-anyon wavefunction, and fixes an arbitrary external potential in order to regularize the spectrum, then the spectrum of each projected Hamiltonian operator H'_j can be represented as the union of the spectra of $(2j+1)$ scalar Schrödinger operators, one for each j_z-component, endowed with its respective hard-core parameter ε_{j,j_z}. As discussed in Section IV C, one then ends up with a set of $(2l+1)^2$ (in principle independent) parameters ε_{j,j_z}, which are needed to fix the boundary behavior:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{0,0} & \varepsilon_{1,1} & \varepsilon_{2,2} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2l+1,2l+1} \\ \varepsilon_{1,-1} & \varepsilon_{1,0} & \varepsilon_{2,1} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2l+1,2l} \\ \varepsilon_{2,-2} & \varepsilon_{2,-1} & \varepsilon_{2,0} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \varepsilon_{2l+1,-2l-1} & \varepsilon_{2l+1,-2l} & \cdots & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2l+1,0} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{C1}$$

For the general soft-core NACS gas, one has the following expression for the second virial coefficient [34]:

$$B_2^{s.c.}(\kappa, l, T) = \frac{1}{(2l+1)^2}\sum_{j=0}^{2l}\sum_{j_z=-j}^{j}\left[\frac{1+(-1)^{j+2l}}{2}B_2^B(\omega_j, T, \varepsilon_{j,j_z}) + \frac{1-(-1)^{j+2l}}{2}B_2^F(\omega_j, T, \varepsilon_{j,j_z})\right] \ , \tag{C2}$$

where $B_2^B(\omega_j, T, \varepsilon_{j,j_z})$ is the soft-core expression entering Eq. (39):

$$B_2^B(\omega_j, T, \varepsilon_{j,j_z}) = B_2^{h.c.}(\delta_j, T) - 2\lambda_T^2 \left\{ e^{\varepsilon_{j,j_z}} \theta(-\sigma) + \frac{\delta_j \sigma}{\pi} (\sin \pi\delta_j) \int_0^\infty \frac{dt e^{-\varepsilon_{j,j_z} t} t^{|\delta_j|-1}}{1 + 2\sigma(\cos \pi\delta_j)\, t^{|\delta_j|} + t^{2|\delta_j|}} \right\}, \tag{C3}$$

with $\delta_j \equiv (\omega_j + 1)\, mod\, 2 - 1$, and $B_2^F(\omega_j, T, \varepsilon_{j,j_z})$ is the previous expression evaluated for $\omega_j \to \omega_j + 1$:

$$B_2^F(\omega_j, T, \varepsilon_{j,j_z}) = B_2^{h.c.}(\Gamma_j, T) - 2\lambda_T^2 \left\{ e^{\varepsilon_{j,j_z}} \theta(-\sigma) + \frac{\Gamma_j\, \sigma}{\pi} (\sin \pi\Gamma_j) \int_0^\infty \frac{dt e^{-\varepsilon_{j,j_z} t} t^{|\Gamma_j|-1}}{1 + 2\sigma(\cos \pi\Gamma_j)\, t^{|\Gamma_j|} + t^{2|\Gamma_j|}} \right\}, \tag{C4}$$

with $\Gamma_j \equiv \omega_j\, mod\, 2\, - 1$.

To perform explicit computations, we may consider the simple case in which an isotropy for the hard-core parameter is assumed within each shell with assigned isospin quantum number l. In other words, $\varepsilon_{j,j_z} \equiv \varepsilon_j$ and the matrix (C1) then reads

$$\varepsilon_{j,j_z} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_0 & \varepsilon_1 & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2l+1} \\ \varepsilon_1 & \varepsilon_1 & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2l+1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \varepsilon_{2l+1} & \varepsilon_{2l+1} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2l+1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{C5}$$

When all of the elements of the matrix (C5) are equal, we will use the notation $\varepsilon_{j,j_z} \equiv \varepsilon$. In such a completely isotropic case, Eq. (C2) takes the simplified form

$$B_2^{s.c.}(\kappa, l, T) = \frac{1}{(2l+1)^2} \sum_{j=0}^{2l} (2j+1)\, B_2^B(\nu_j, T, \varepsilon)\ , \tag{C6}$$

where

$$\nu_j \equiv \left(\omega_j - \frac{1 + (-1)^{j+2l}}{2} \right) mod\, 2 - 1\,. \tag{C7}$$

For $l = 1/2$, i.e. the lowest possible value for non-Abelian anyons, the assumption of isotropy ($\varepsilon_{0,0} = \varepsilon_0$ and $\varepsilon_{1,m} = \varepsilon_1$ with $m = 1, 0, -1$) yields:

$$B_2^{s.c.}\left(\kappa, l = \frac{1}{2}, T\right) = \frac{3}{4} B_2^B(\omega_1, T, \varepsilon_1) + \frac{1}{4} B_2^F(\omega_0, T, \varepsilon_0)\,. \tag{C8}$$

As an example, let us consider the case $l = 1/2, 4\pi\kappa = 3$:

$$B_2^{s.c.}\left(k = 3, l = \frac{1}{2}, T\right) = \frac{3}{4} B_2^B\left(\alpha = \frac{1}{6}, T, \varepsilon_1\right) + \frac{1}{4} B_2^F\left(\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}, T, \varepsilon_0\right)\ . \tag{C9}$$

If the four parameters of the whole matrix are taken to be identical $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_1 \equiv \varepsilon$ ["complete isotropy" of the parameter matrix (C1)], the virial coefficient reduces to

$$B_2^{(s.c.)}\left(k=3, l=\frac{1}{2}, T, \sigma=1\right) = -\frac{\lambda_T^2}{24}\left\{1+\frac{4}{\pi}\int_0^\infty dt\; e^{-\varepsilon t}\left(\frac{6\; t^{-1/2}}{1+t}+\frac{t^{-5/6}}{1+\sqrt{3}\, t^{1/6}+t^{1/3}}\right)\right\} \quad . \tag{C10}$$

The depletion of B_2 in Eq. (C10), with respect to its hard-core value $-\frac{1}{24}\lambda_T^2$, arises from the anyonic collisions allowed by the soft-core conditions.

---

[1] J. E. Mayer and M. G. Mayer, *Statistical Mechanics* (New York, Wiley, 1977).

[2] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics* (New York, Pergamon Press, 1980).

[3] K. Huang, *Statistical Mechanics* (New York, Wiley, 1987).

[4] D. A. McQuarrie, *Statistical Mechanics* (Sausalito, CA, University Science Books, 2000).

[5] J. D. Van der Waals, *Over de Continuiteit van den Gas- en Vloeistoftoestand* (Leiden, A. W. Sijthoff, 1873).

[6] E. H. Lieb and W. Liniger, Phys. Rev. **130**, 1605 (1963).

[7] C. N. Yang and C. P. Yang, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 1115 (1969).

[8] L. Tonks, Phys. Rev. **50**, 955 (1936).

[9] M. Girardeau, J. Math. Phys. **1**, 516 (1960).

[10] A. Lenard, J. Math. Phys. **5**, 930 (1964).

[11] V. A. Yurovsky, M. Olshanii and D. S. Weiss, Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **55**, 61 (2008).

[12] C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose-Einstein condensation in dilute alkali gases*, 2nd ed., Chap. 15 (Cambridge, University Press, 2008).

[13] I. Bouchoule, N. J. van Druten, and C. I. Westbrook, `arXiv:0901.3303`.

[14] M. A. Cazalilla, R. Citro, T. Giamarchi, E. Orignac and M. Rigol, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 1405 (2011).

[15] V. E. Korepin, N. M. Bogoliubov, and A. G. Izergin, *Quantum inverse scattering method and correlation functions* (Cambridge, University Press, 1993).

[16] L. Šamaj and Z. Bajnok, *Introduction to the statistical physics of integrable many-body systems* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2013).

[17] M. Olshanii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 938 (1998).

[18] T. Kinoshita, T. Wenger and D. S. Weiss, Science **305**, 1125 (2004).

[19] B. Paredes, A. Widera, V. Murg, O. Mandel, S. Folling, I. Cirac, G. V. Shlyapnikov, T. W. Hansch and I. Bloch, Nature **429**, 277 (2004).

[20] A. H. van Amerongen, J. J. van Es, P. Wicke, K. V. Kheruntsyan and N. J. van Druten, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 090402 (2008).

[21] G. E. Astrakharchik, J. Boronat, J. Casulleras and S. Giorgini, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 190407 (2005).

[22] F. Wilczek, *Fractional statistics and anyon superconductivity* (Singapore, World Scientific Publishing, 1990).

[23] A. Lerda, *Anyons: quantum mechanics of particles with fractional statistics* (Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1992).

[24] S. Forte, Rev. Mod. Phys. **64**, 193 (1992).

[25] A. Khare, *Fractional statistics and quantum theory* (Singapore, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 2005).

[26] C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman and S. Das Sarma, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 1083 (2008).

[27] D. P. Arovas, J. R. Schrieffer, F. Wilczek and A. Zee, Nucl. Phys. B **251**, 117 (1985).

[28] R. K. Bhaduri, R. S. Bhalerao, A. Khare, J. Law and M. V. N. Murthy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 523 (1991).

[29] J. Myrheim and K. Olausson, Phys. Lett. B **299**, 267 (1993); *ibid.* **305**, E428 (1993).

[30] A. Dasnieres de Veigy, Nucl. Phys. B **388**, 715 (1992).

[31] H.-K. Lo, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 4999 (1993).

[32] T. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4967 (1995).

[33] C. R. Hagen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 4086 (1996).

[34] F. Mancarella, A. Trombettoni and G. Mussardo, Nucl. Phys. B **867**, 950 (2013).

[35] M. Bourdeau and S. D. Sorkin, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 687 (1992).

[36] C. Manuel and R. Tarrach, Phys. Lett. B **268**, 222 (1991).

[37] P. Giacconi, F. Maltoni and R. Soldati, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 10065 (1996).

[38] C. Kim, Phys. Lett. B **431**, 374 (1998).

[39] D. Bak, R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 6778 (1994).

[40] G. Amelino-Camelia and D. Bak, Phys. Lett. B **343**, 231 (1995).

[41] C. Lee, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **12**, 1033 (1997).

[42] F. Mancarella, A. Trombettoni and G. Mussardo, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46**, 275001 (2013).

[43] N. P. Bailey, U. R. Pedersen, N. Gnan, T. B. Schrøder and J. C. Dyre, J. Chem. Phys. **129**, 184507 (2008).

[44] N. P. Bailey, U. R. Pedersen, N. Gnan, T. B. Schrøder and J. C. Dyre, J. Chem. Phys. **129**, 184508 (2008).

[45] T. B. Schrøder, N. P. Bailey, U. R. Pedersen, N. Gnan and J. C. Dyre, J. Chem. Phys. **131**, 234503 (2009).

[46] N. Gnan, T. B. Schrøder, U. R. Pedersen, N. P. Bailey and J. C. Dyre, J. Chem. Phys. **131**, 234504 (2009).

[47] T. B. Schrøder, N. Gnan, U. R. Pedersen, N. P. Bailey and J. C. Dyre, J. Chem. Phys. **134**, 164505 (2011).

[48] J. C. Dyre, Phys. Rev. E **88**, 042139 (2013).

[49] T. B. Schrøder and J. C. Dyre, `arXiv:1406.2216 [cond-mat.soft]`.

[50] G. E. Uhlenbeck and E. A. Uehling, Phys. Rev. **39**, 1014 (1932).

[51] T. L. Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 090402 (2004).

[52] Y. Castin and F. Werner, Chap. 5 in *The BCS-BEC Crossover and the Unitary Fermi Gas*, ed. W. Zwerger (Heidelberg, Springer, 2012).

[53] Z. Yan, Eur. J. Phys. **21**, 625 (2000).

[54] P. W. Atkins and J. de Paula, *Physical Chemistry* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2010).

[55] J. M. Smith, H. C.Van Ness and M. M. Abbot, *Introduction to Chemical Engineering Thermodynamics* (McGraw-Hill, 2000).

[56] C. P. Yang, Phys. Rev. A **2**, 154 (1970).

[57] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Høegh-Krohn and H. Holden, *Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988).

[58] A. Moroz, Phys. Rev. A **53**, 669 (1996).

[59] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev D **42**, 3500 (1990).

[60] S. J. Kim, Phys. Lett. B **343**, 244 (1995).

[61] S. J. Kim and C. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 2227 (1997).

[62] G. V. Dunne, `hep-th/9902115` (lectures at 1998 Les Houches Summer School "Topological Aspects of Low Dimensional Systems").

[63] E. Guadagnini, M. Martellini and M. Mintchev, Nucl. Phys. B **336**, 581 (1990).

[64] E. Verlinde, `A note on braid statistics and the non-Abelian Aharonov-Bohm effect` in *Modern Quantum Field Theory*, pg. 450 (Singapore, World Scientific, 1991).

[65] T. Lee and P. Oh, Phys. Lett. B **319**, 497 (1993); Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 1141 (1994); Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **235**, 413 (1994).

[66] W. T. Kim and C. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 6829 (1994).

[67] A. P. Polychronakos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 1268 (2000).

[68] A. Cappelli and P. Valtancoli, Nucl. Phys. B **453**, 727 (1995).

[69] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **140**, 372 (1982).

[70] A. Comtet, Y. Georgelin and S. Ouvry, J. Phys. A **22**, 3917 (1989).

[71] B. Sutherland, J. Math. Phys. **12**, 251 (1971).

[72] M. Wadati and G. Kato, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals **14**, 23 (2001).

[73] A. Isihara, *Statistical physics* (New York, Academic Press, 1971).

[74] *NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark eds. (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2010).

[75] We are indebted to Y. Stein for pointing out this point.

[76] A. Comtet, J. McCabe and S. Ouvry, Phys. Lett. B **260**, 372 (1991).

[77] V. G. Knizhnik and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B **247**, 83 (1984).